IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 12276 of 2000

Between:

T.Anka Rao S/o.Kotaiah
R/o.Guntur, Door No.25-4-381/4 5th Line, Ramanama Kshetram
R.Agraharam, Guntur - 4

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 State of Andhra Pradesh rep.by its Secretary
Finance & Planning Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 Govt. of Andhra Pradesh rep.by its Secretary
Law (LA & J - Home courts. D) Secretariat, Hyderabad
3 The Registrar Administration, High Court of Judicature
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
4 District and Sessions Judge, Guntur

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to grant direction more particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in not considering the case of the petitioner for the post of selection grade as examiner/reader in the resultant vacancy of G.Vedantham arose from 27/05/1974 in terms of GO.Ms.No.161, Dt.19/6/74 (Finance) and read with GO.Ms.No.4 (Finance) Dt.6/1/86 and rejecting the same quoting GO.Ms.No.191 (Finance) Dt.11/11/92 is illegal, arbitrary and against the rules and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India, consequently setting aside the order passed by the

respondents 1 & 2 vide letter No.27558/Court D1, Dt.14/12/99 directing them to reconsider the case of the petitioner to selection grade with effect from 27/05/94 with all consequential benefits with retrospective effect in the interest of justice and pass such other order.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.K.CHAKRAVARTHY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2: G.P. For Finance and Planning

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.: MR.C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

The Court made the following Oral Order: (per Sri Devinder Gupta, CJ)

None for the petitioner. Petitioner also has retired from service. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition. The petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

DEVINDER GUPTA, CJ

Date: 11th August, 2004

_____

C.V.RAMULU,J

Pnb

To

1. The Secretary, Finance & Planning Department, State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The Secretary,
Law (LA & J - Home courts. D), Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3 The Registrar Administration, High Court of Judicature
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

4 District and Sessions Judge, Guntur

5. Two c.cs. to G.P. for Finance & Planning, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

6. Two C.D. copies